Published: Monday, 11 December 2017 13:57

Written by: Matthew Weinstein

	Income					Combined Impact of Senate Bill (ALL TAXPAYERS)							Combined Impact of Senate Bill (TAXPAYERS WITH 3+ KIDS)						
Income Group	o Income Range		Average Income	Total Tax Change (Thousands)	Avg. Tax Change	Share with Tax Cut	Avg. Tax Cut for Those With	Share with Tax Hike	Avg. Tax Hike for Those With	Total Tax Change (Thousands)	Avg. Tax Change	Share with Tax Cut	Avg. Tax Cut for Those With	Share with Tax Hike	Avg. Tax Hike for Those With				
Poorest 20%	Less than		\$38,030	\$22,400	\$1,200	\$0	73%	\$ -20	19%	\$+110	\$2,600	\$ + 100	39%	\$ -90	57%	\$+240			
Second 20%	\$38,030	to	\$64,930	\$51,100	\$4,200	\$ + 10	72%	\$ -50	24%	\$+200	\$6,100	\$ + 220	8%	\$-120	92%	\$+250			
Middle 20%	\$64,930	to	\$105,130	\$83,000	-\$8,900	\$ -30	71%	\$ -70	26%	\$+90	\$2,100	\$ + 30	56%	\$ -80	44%	\$+170			
Fourth 20%	\$105,130	to	\$165,090	\$131,100	-\$28,900	\$ -90	78%	\$ -140	20%	\$+100	-\$7,500	\$-120	88%	\$ -150	9%	\$+130			
Next 15%	\$165,090	to	\$333,410	\$227,300	-\$39,600	\$ -180	74%	\$ -270	25%	\$+80	-\$4,300	\$-120	57%	\$ -270	43%	\$+70			
Next 4%	\$333,410	to	\$765,900	\$468,500	-\$49,900	\$ -920	95%	\$ -970	4%	\$+130	-\$12,700	\$ -960	96%	\$-1,010	4%	\$+320			
Richest 1%	\$765,900	and	higher	\$2,099,000	-\$87,400	\$-6,070	100%	\$-6,090	0%	\$+520	-\$5,400	\$ -2,710	100%	\$ -2,730	0%	\$+420			
ALL				\$122,600	-\$209,400	\$-130	75%	\$ -210	22%	\$+120	-\$19,100	\$ -80	60%	\$ -260	39%	\$+190			
Bottom 60%	Less than		\$105,130	\$51,800	-\$3.600	\$0	72%	\$ -50	23%	\$+130	\$10,800	\$+90	41%	\$ -80	58%	\$+210			

NEW ANALYSIS FINDS THAT THE FINAL HOUSE AND SENATE TAX BILLS STILL PENALIZE UTAH FOR OUR LARGER FAMILIES

Salt Lake City - Voices for Utah Children today released an updated analysis of the final versions of the House- and Senate-passed tax bills that finds that the two bills continue to discriminate against Utah because of our larger family sizes.

The new analysis finds that the bill passed by the US House of Representatives hurts larger families both in the early and later years of the standard 10-year outlook window. In 2019, for example, 27% of Utah taxpayers with 3 or more children pay higher taxes rather than lower, compared to just 15% of all Utah taxpayers. This penalty for larger families in 2019 is especially widespread among low- to-middle-income Utahns. For example, among the lower 60% of Utahns (those earning up to \$76,020 in 2019), 33% of families with 3+ kids pay higher taxes, compared to just 13% of all Utahns. For the lowest quintile of Utah taxpayers (those earning under \$27,110 in 2019), fully 57% of large families (those with 3+ kids) get hit with a tax increase, compared to just 11% of all Utah households in that first income quintile. These effects are highlighted in the chart below.

Published: Monday, 11 December 2017 13:57

Written by: Matthew Weinstein

		Inc	ome			Combined Impact of House Bill (TAXPAYERS WITH 3+ KIDS)										
Income Group	Income Range		Average Income	Total Tax Change (Thousands)	Avg. Tax Change	Share with Tax Cut	Avg. Tax Cut for Those With	Share with Tax Hike	Avg. Tax Hike for Those With	Total Tax Change (Thousands)	Avg. Tax Change	Share with Tax Cut	Avg. Tax Cut for Those With	Share with Tax Hike	Avg. Tax Hike for Those With	
Poorest 20%	Less than \$27,1	\$27,110	\$16,200	-\$39,500	\$-150	65%	\$-240	11%	\$+40	-\$900	\$ -50	18%	\$ -380	57%	\$+30	
Second 20%	\$27,110	to	\$47,210	\$38,000	-\$120,300	\$-470	87%	\$-570	10%	\$+270	-\$6,900	\$ -280	75%	\$-390	24%	\$+80
Middle 20%	\$47,210	to	\$76,020	\$60,500	-\$162,300	\$-640	80%	\$-940	18%	\$+650	-\$22,100	\$-470	70%	\$ -770	28%	\$+270
Fourth 20%	\$76,020	to	\$120,000	\$95,300	-\$221,400	\$ -870	79%	\$-1,490	20%	\$+1,600	-\$39,000	\$ -810	75%	\$-1,340	25%	\$+810
Next 15%	\$120,000	to	\$234,160	\$162,400	-\$383,300	\$-2,020	82%	\$ -2,950	18%	\$+2,310	-\$49,300	\$-1,520	77%	\$ -2,190	22%	\$+770
Next 4%	\$234,160	to	\$574,890	\$334,100	-\$279,000	\$ -5,310	82%	\$-6,870	17%	\$+1,970	-\$69,200	\$-5,620	81%	\$ -7,340	19%	\$+1,840
Richest 1%	\$574,890	and	higher	\$1,607,600	-\$841,800	\$-65,600	93%	\$-70,740	5%	\$+2,680	-\$59,500	\$ -29,620	98%	\$-30,270	2%	\$+1,050
ALL				\$95,100	-\$2,047,700	\$ -1,590	78%	\$-2,240	15%	\$+1,110	-\$247,000	\$-1,330	70%	\$ -2,100	27%	\$+470
Bottom 60%	Less than		\$76,020	\$38,300	-\$322,100	\$-420	77%	\$-610	13%	\$+380	-\$30,000	\$ -340	62%	\$-620	33%	\$+150

The House bill goes from bad to worse over time. By 2027, 26% of Utahns see a tax increase (vs 15% in 2019), but 44% of large families pay higher taxes that year (vs 27% in 2019), as shown in the chart below.

		Inc	ome			Combined Impact of House Bill (TAXPAYERS WITH 3+ KIDS)										
Income Group	Income Range		Average Income	Total Tax Change (Thousands)	Avg. Tax Change	Share with Tax Cut	Avg. Tax Cut for Those With	Share with Tax Hike	Avg. Tax Hike for Those With	Total Tax Change (Thousands)	Avg. Tax Change	Share with Tax Cut	Avg. Tax Cut for Those With	Share with Tax Hike	Avg. Tax Hike for Those With	
Poorest 20%	Less than	ess than \$38,030	\$38,030	\$22,400	-\$28,200	\$ -80	90%	\$-120	7%	\$+330	-\$4,700	\$-180	83%	\$ -280	14%	\$+390
Second 20%	\$38,030	to	\$64,930	\$51,100	-\$74,600	\$-240	73%	\$ -530	24%	\$+640	-\$2,700	\$-100	48%	\$-470	52%	\$+260
Middle 20%	\$64,930	to	\$105,130	\$83,000	-\$40,100	\$-120	62%	\$-960	36%	\$+1,310	\$19,800	\$ + 300	48%	\$-530	51%	\$+1,080
Fourth 20%	\$105,130	to	\$165,090	\$131,100	-\$140,900	\$ -440	66%	\$-1,710	34%	\$+2,060	-\$19,500	\$-320	55%	\$-1,530	45%	\$+1,160
Next 15%	\$165,090	to	\$333,410	\$227,300	-\$75,500	\$ -350	60%	\$ -2,700	40%	\$+3,160	-\$200	\$0	49%	\$-2,190	51%	\$+2,120
Next 4%	\$333,410	to	\$765,900	\$468,500	-\$244,700	\$ -4,530	69%	\$ -8,250	31%	\$+3,880	-\$36,600	\$-2,790	57%	\$-7,910	42%	\$+4,120
Richest 1%	\$765,900	and	higher	\$2,099,000	-\$980,700	\$-68,120	90%	\$ -76,670	10%	\$+10,690	-\$73,200	\$-36,770	90%	\$-41,760	10%	\$+6,120
ALL				\$122,600	-\$1,584,700	\$ -980	71%	\$-2,070	26%	\$+1,830	-\$116,900	\$ -500	55%	\$ -1,980	44%	\$+1,320
Bottom 60%	Less than		\$105,130	\$51,800	-\$142,900	\$-140	75%	\$ -470	22%	\$+970	\$12,500	\$ + 100	56%	\$-440	43%	\$+810

The bill passed by the US Senate on December 2 does not harm larger families disproportionately in its early years, but by 2027 it raises taxes for 39% of larger families (again, those with 3+ children) compared to only 22% of all Utah tax filers. Moreover, because the bill's tax cuts are skewed toward the top of the income scale, low- and middle-income families get hit the hardest in the Senate bill by 2027. For example, if one looks only at the bottom three quintiles (in other words, up to the 60th percentile of income, which is projected to be about \$105,130 in 2027), 58% of Utah taxpayers with 3 or more children pay a tax hike that year, compared to 23% of all Utahns below that income level. Among the lowest income quintile (those earning up to 38,030 in 2027), 57% of larger families pay higher taxes, compared to 19% of all taxpayers in the poorest quintile. The second and third quintiles see a similary disproportionate impact on larger families.

Published: Monday, 11 December 2017 13:57

Written by: Matthew Weinstein

		Income			Combined I	Impact of Senate	BIII (ALL TAXP	AYERS)	Combined Impact of Senate Bill (TAXPAYERS WITH 3+ KIDS)						
ncome Group	Income Range		Average Income	Total Tax Change (Thousands) \$1,200	Avg. Tax Change	Share with Tax Cut	Avg. Tax Cut for Those With \$-20	Share with Tax Hike	Avg. Tax Hike for Those With \$+110	Total Tax Change (Thousands) \$2,600	Avg. Tax Change \$ + 100	Share with Tax Cut	Avg. Tax Cut for Those With	Share with Tax Hike	Avg. Tax Hike for Those With \$+240
Poorest 20% Less than		s38,030	\$22,400										\$ -90		
Second 20%	\$38,030	to \$64,930	\$51,100	\$4,200	\$ + 10	72%	\$ -50	24%	\$+200	\$6,100	\$ + 220	8%	\$-120	92%	\$+250
Middle 20%	\$64,930	to \$105,13	0 \$83,000	-\$8,900	\$ -30	71%	\$ -70	26%	\$+90	\$2,100	\$ + 30	56%	\$ -80	44%	\$+170
Fourth 20%	\$105,130	to \$165,09	0 \$131,100	-\$28,900	\$-90	78%	\$-140	20%	\$+100	-\$7,500	\$-120	88%	\$ -150	9%	\$+130
Next 15%	\$165,090	to \$333,4	0 \$227,300	-\$39,600	\$-180	74%	\$ -270	25%	\$+80	-\$4,300	\$-120	57%	\$ -270	43%	\$+70
Vext 4%	\$333,410	to \$765,90	0 \$468,500	-\$49,900	\$-920	95%	\$-970	4%	\$+130	-\$12,700	\$-960	96%	\$-1,010	4%	\$+320
Richest 1%	\$765,900	and higher	\$2,099,000	-\$87,400	\$ -6,070	100%	\$-6,090	0%	\$+520	-\$5,400	\$ -2,710	100%	\$ -2,730	0%	\$+420
ALL			\$122,600	-\$209,400	\$ -130	75%	\$ -210	22%	\$+120	-\$19,100	\$ -80	60%	\$ -260	39%	\$+190
Bottom 60%	Less than	\$105.13	0 \$51,800	-\$3,600	\$0	72%	\$ -50	23%	\$+130	\$10,800	\$ + 90	41%	\$ -80	58%	\$+210

The disproportionate impact on larger families found in the two bills is evident at the national level as well as in Utah. But the bills can be said to have a punishing effect on Utah because we have the largest households and families in the nation. Therefore, the bills' detrimental effect on large families is felt more in Utah than any other state.

One cause of the problem is that larger families lose more from elimination of the personal exemptions than they gain from the increases in the standard deduction and child tax credit (CTC). Moreover, both the House and Senate bills failed to include the proposed amendment from Senators Marco Rubio and Mike Lee that would substantially increase the refundable portion of the child tax credit. (The refundable portion of the CTC is the only part that is accessible to most low- and moderate-income taxpayers since their incomes are generally too low to incur federal income tax liability.)

Matthew Weinstein, state priorities partnership director at Voices for Utah Children, commented, "This finding that the proposed tax bills passed by the House and Senate hurt larger families is of great concern to us, especially for their harmful impact on lower-income families already struggling to provide economic stability for their children. Children growing up in economically unstable circumstances have been found to be more likely to fall victim to adverse health and educational outcomes and even end up in the criminal justice system instead of the workforce when they grow up. In addition, the finding by ITEP and by Congress's own scorekeepers that these supposed tax cut bills ultimately raise taxes for so many low- and moderate-income Utahns comes as a very unpleasant and unwelcome surprise. We urge Congress to slow down and take the time to full think through how these very significant changes to the tax code will impact families and children."

The analysis was conducted by the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, a

Published: Monday, 11 December 2017 13:57

Written by: Matthew Weinstein

nonpartisan think tank that has developed a sophisticated tax incidence model to produce distributional analyses that not only estimate the revenue impact of current and proposed tax policies but also project the real-life economic effects on taxpayers at every income level. The ITEP analysis does not reflect the impact of the elimination of the individual mandate under the Affordable Care Act (ACA), which is why ITEP finds fewer households with a tax increase overall in 2027 than Congress's Joint Committee on Taxation, which found that every income group making less than \$75,000 in 2027 would pay higher taxes by that year, in part because it counted as a tax increase the increased burden of healthcare costs that would face moderate- and middle-income households without ACA subsidies. A spreadsheet with the full results of the analysis can be accessed at this link.